

THE IMPACT OF INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM ON CORRUPTION AMONG POLITICAL OFFICE HOLDERS: A NIGERIAN CONTEXTUAL ANALYSIS

Lois Otse Adams-Osigbemhe, * Itua Fred Odianosen, **

*Department of Broadcast, Film and Multimedia, University of Abuja, Abuja

**Veritas University, Abuja

Abstract

Corruption is a pervasive issue that undermines trust in government and institutions, while investigative journalism plays a crucial role in exposing and holding accountable those in power. This study examined the impact of investigative journalism on corruption, focusing on political office holders. The objective investigated the effectiveness of investigative journalism in uncovering corruption in political offices and its impact on promoting transparency and accountability. A quantitative research approach is employed, to analyze and examine case studies of some investigative journalism reports that have exposed corruption among political office holders. Through the lens of Watchdog, Agenda-setting and Democratic theories, the study revealed the vital role investigative journalism plays in exposing corruption, promoting transparency and accountability, and contributing to good governance. Furthermore, the research highlighted the significant challenges faced by investigative journalists, including threats, intimidation, and censorship. The study further encourages policymakers, journalists, and civil society organizations to support investigative journalism in the fight against corruption.

Keywords: Investigative journalism, corruption, political office holders, transparency, accountability, good governance.

Introduction

Corruption is universally acknowledged as a critical impediment to national development, effective governance, and social justice, particularly in developing countries such as Nigeria. It is fundamentally characterized by the abuse of public office for private gain, manifesting in diverse forms including bribery, embezzlement, nepotism, electoral fraud, and the misappropriation of public resources.

Globally, investigative journalism has consistently demonstrated its pivotal role in exposing corruption and catalyzing reforms (Waisbord, 2000), with landmark investigations such as the Watergate scandal in the United States, which led to the resignation of President Richard Nixon (Bernstein and Woodward, 1974). The more recent Panama Papers, which exposed offshore financial dealings of numerous public figures worldwide (Obermayer and Obermaier, 2016), have led to significant consequences such as the resignation and prosecution of corrupt officials, the recovery of stolen assets, and the introduction of new policies designed to enhance transparency. Within Nigeria, investigative journalists have similarly brought to light cases of electoral fraud, widespread mismanagement of public funds, and abuse of office, often sparking widespread public

outray and, in certain instances, prompting government action (Komolafe and Nkereuwem, 2019).

However, despite these notable successes, the practice of investigative journalism in Nigeria and comparable contexts is fraught with numerous challenges (Okon, 2023). Journalists frequently operate in environments hostile to press freedom, where they are routinely subjected to harassment, intimidation, legal persecution, and even physical violence. A critical observation here is the prevailing situation where exposure of corruption does not consistently translate into meaningful consequences (Chiluwa, 2021). While investigative reports can ignite public debate and official inquiries, systemic weaknesses within law enforcement, the judiciary, and a pervasive lack of political will often impede the successful prosecution of offenders and the recovery of stolen assets (Izuchukwu, Awakessien and Awakessien, 2024).

Statement of the Problem

Despite the widely acknowledged importance of investigative journalism as a mechanism for accountability, corruption remains deeply entrenched in many societies, particularly among political office holders.

- Corruption remains a pervasive issue in Nigeria, undermining the effectiveness of democratic governance and eroding public trust in political institutions.
- Investigative journalism in Nigeria faces significant challenges, including lack of political will, poor funding, and threats to journalists' safety.
- The effectiveness of investigative journalism in exposing corruption and promoting good governance in Nigeria is hindered by weak institutional frameworks and lack of accountability.

Objectives of the Study

- To examine the impact of investigative journalism on corruption among political office holders in Nigeria.
- To identify the challenges faced by investigative journalists in Nigeria and explore strategies to strengthen investigative journalism.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of investigative journalism in promoting transparency and accountability in Nigeria.

Research Questions

- I. How does investigative journalism impact corruption among political office holders in Nigeria?
- II. What are the challenges faced by investigative journalists in Nigeria?
- III. What strategies can be employed to strengthen investigative journalism in Nigeria and promote transparency and accountability?

Literature Review: Conceptualizing Corruption

Corruption is most commonly defined as the abuse of entrusted power for private gain, this expansive definition encompasses a wide range of illicit behaviors, including, but not limited to, bribery, embezzlement, nepotism, cronyism, extortion, and fraud (Transparency International, 2022). These acts are perpetrated by individuals or groups who exploit their positions of authority, frequently at the direct expense of public welfare and institutional integrity. The scope of corruption extends beyond mere financial misconduct, encompassing the manipulation of policies, procedures, and entire systems to secure personal or group advantage (Rose-Ackerman & Palifka, 2016).

This is a specialized and rigorous form of reporting dedicated to uncovering information of significant public interest that is often deliberately concealed, particularly concerning wrongdoing or

corruption (de Burgh, 2008). This practice demands meticulous in-depth research, sophisticated data analysis, and often involves undercover work and the cultivation of confidential sources (de Burgh, 2008). It is a proactive form of journalism, distinct from routine news reporting, requiring extensive time, resources, and a profound commitment to uncovering truths that powerful entities wish to keep hidden. On a global scale, investigative journalism has consistently demonstrated its pivotal role in exposing corruption (Waisbord, 2000). Seminal cases mentioned earlier, such as the Watergate scandal in the United States and the more recent Panama Papers have led to high-profile resignations, successful prosecutions, and significant policy reforms. These global examples serve as powerful precedents, while in Nigeria investigative journalists have similarly been instrumental in bringing to light cases of electoral fraud, widespread mismanagement of public funds, and abuse of office. Their work has frequently triggered public outcry and, in some instances, prompted government action (Anyanwu, 2017).

Theoretical Framework

This study is underpinned by three key theoretical frameworks that analyze the impact of investigative journalism on corruption and offer complementary perspectives on the media's role in a democratic society.

The Watchdog Theory posits that the media serves as a crucial guardian of public interest, acting as an independent monitor of governmental and corporate power (Lichtenberg, 1990). The media actively scrutinizes those in positions of authority, exposing abuses, malfeasance, and corruption, and holding them accountable to the public (Bennett, 2009). By doing so, the media ensures transparency and accountability in governance (McCombs & Shaw, 1972).

The Agenda-Setting Theory, developed by McCombs and Shaw (1972), suggests that the media shapes public discourse by highlighting specific issues. The media does not tell people what to think, but rather what to think about, framing public debate and mobilizing public opinion (Iyengar & Kinder, 1987). This theory highlights the media's power to create a sense of urgency around marginalized issues (Weaver, McCombs, & Shaw, 2004).

Democratic Theory is a framework that underscores the indispensable role of a free and independent press in sustaining democratic governance (Coronel, 2003). A free press is essential for informed civic participation and leader accountability (Norris, P. (2000). Investigative journalism provides crucial truths for effective civic engagement and oversight, holding

leaders accountable and strengthening democratic institutions (Grabe & Scheufele, 2001).

Empirical Evidence: Investigative Journalism and Corruption

Numerous scholarly studies and real-world cases consistently document the positive impact of investigative journalism in curbing corruption. For instance, a strong correlation between greater press freedom and lower levels of corruption indicates the media's deterrent effect (Brunetti and Weder, 2003). Their cross-country analysis suggested that countries with more independent media tend to experience less corruption, implying that the threat of exposure acts as a significant disincentive for corrupt behavior. In the Nigerian context, investigative reports have demonstrably led to significant public outcry, triggered official investigations, and in some instances, resulted in concrete policy reforms (Anyanwu, 2017).

The following case studies provide empirical evidence of this effectiveness, demonstrating how rigorous journalistic methods can penetrate layers of secrecy and expose illicit activities

2025, stands as a compelling example of effective investigative journalism. This meticulously produced three-part documentary exposed the intricate and detrimental connections between water scarcity, political corruption, and their devastating environmental and human impacts across various Nigerian states. The investigation specifically documented how certain miners, including both local individuals and a Chinese national, who had been arrested by Osun government officials for illegal mining, subsequently managed to escape in a van. This escape was apparently facilitated through collusion with a mysterious security task force that appeared to be protecting the miners, revealing a clear instance of official complicity in illegal activities and a breakdown of law enforcement. Furthermore, the second episode of the documentary focused on the abandoned Ivo Dam project in Enugu State. For over a decade, misappropriated funds had left this critical infrastructure in ruins, directly contributing to the annual flooding of over 40,000 acres of rice and okra farms, devastating local livelihoods.

NotebookGate Investigation, led by Nigerian journalist Olanrewaju Oyedeji of Dataphyte and published in January 2023, exposed profound corruption within government contracts in Oyo state concerning the supply of school notebooks. The probe specifically targeted contracts valued at N1.294 billion (approximately US\$2.8 million) for the provision of over 200,000 customized notebooks to public secondary schools in Oyo state, awarded in four distinct batches between August 2019 and December 2021. This investigation highlighted how public funds intended for education were systematically diverted through fraudulent procurement, directly impacting the quality of public services. Oyedeji's analysis estimated that the Oyo government could have saved over N500 million (about US\$1.2 million) if the notebooks had been procured at prevailing market rates, highlighting the scale of the financial loss due to corruption. Furthermore, the beneficial ownership data exposed that the companies were owned by the same individual and maintained pre-existing relationships with the government, suggesting a pattern of cronyism. A particularly suspicious detail was that these firms were officially registered by the CAC on the same day in September, just three months

before the contracts were awarded in December 2020, raising red flags about their legitimacy and the bidding process.

Overall, the investigation uncovered a

Table: Selected Nigerian Investigative Journalism Cases and Their Outcomes

Investigative Case	Main Corruption Exposed	Method of Exposure	Outcome/Official Response	Policy/Legal Reforms Triggered	Challenges Faced by Journalists
Nigeria's Water Crisis (Adelakun, 2025)	Collusion in illegal mining; misappropriation of water project funds; profiteering from critical water resources	Field reporting; documentary evidence; public record analysis; field interviews	Dissolution of corrupt task force within 24 hours; Osun River cleanup launched 2 years later	Environmental remediation; direct policy response on water management	Security threats; logistical difficulties; legal intimidation
#NotebookGate (Dataphyte / Olanrewaju Oyedeji, 2023)	Procurement fraud in education sector; contracts to shell companies; cronyism	Data journalism; CAC & procurement portals; undercover work; network analysis	Public outrage; civil society advocacy; no official prosecution as of Jan 2023	Advocacy for procurement reform and transparency	Legal threats; reputational smear campaigns; pressure to retract
Ministerial Sack of Stella Oduah, 2014	Fraudulent purchase of bulletproof vehicles at inflated prices without due process	Leaked government documents; corroborative media reports	Removed from ministerial office amid public uproar	Renewed calls for procurement reform and ethical standards	Political interference; reputational damage
Certificate Forgery of Kemi Adeosun, 2018	Holding public office with forged NYSC certificate	Public records scrutiny; inter-agency collaboration	Resigned from office after public exposure	Advocacy for credential vetting of political appointees	Political backlash; institutional resistance
Newswatch Letter Bomb to Dele Giwa, 1986	High-level government corruption and abuse of power	Adversarial journalism; interviews; exposés	Journalist assassinated; no justice for perpetrators	Sparked calls for journalist protection (largely unimplemented)	Physical danger; censorship; fatal retaliation
Next Newspaper Shutdown between 2010–2011	Corruption in NNPC and large corporations	Undercover investigations; financial document reviews	Forced newspaper closure due to advertising withdrawal	Awareness of economic censorship; media freedom debates	Financial pressure; indirect censorship via ad revenue cuts

Discussion of Findings

The 'Nigeria's Water Crisis' investigation, spearheaded by journalist Adelakun and aired in

systemic abuse of the contracting process and a clear misappropriation of public funds during procurement, often involving public officials awarding contracts to friends and associates without adhering to due process, thereby undermining fair competition and public trust. The outcomes of the #NotebookGate investigation, while not immediately leading to the prosecution or resignation of the implicated governor, generated a powerful public reaction and intensified pressure on the government from civil society organizations. Governor Seyi Makinde initially responded by promising to resign if misconduct was established and threatened legal action against Dataphyte if the findings proved false. However, as of January 2023, no official investigation had been initiated by the state, and Makinde remained in office. Despite this, the investigation spurred louder calls for accountability and transparency from civil society organizations in Oyo, leading to advocacy programs on radio and extensive social media campaigns aimed at raising public awareness about procurement fraud and demanding the arrest and prosecution of those involved. Oyedeji noted that this development "strengthened democratic governance" in the country by subjecting fiscal governance to greater scrutiny, even without immediate legal action. The Dataphyte investigation was widely republished by both local and international publications, serving as an encouragement for other journalists across Nigeria to increase their coverage of corruption in contracting processes, demonstrating a ripple effect on journalistic practice. Interestingly, the state's ministry of information attempted to debunk the report, claiming a "lack of journalistic or investigative rigor." However, the additional data provided in their denial inadvertently "lent credence to the initial report and provided enough material for the second part of the report," effectively backfiring on the government's attempt to discredit the findings. Oyedeji's work was recognized with a commendation at the 17th Wole Soyinka Awards for Investigative Journalism, acknowledging its significant contribution to public accountability. This case highlights the "agenda-setting" power of investigative journalism, even when direct legal outcomes are delayed.

This case highlights how investigative reporting can directly influence policy implementation and environmental remediation, showcasing a tangible impact on public welfare and resource management. The rapid response indicates a degree of political will and institutional capacity to act when faced with undeniable evidence and public pressure.

This outcome led to broader scrutiny of

fiscal governance and notably encouraged other journalists to pursue similar investigations into public procurement fraud. This demonstrates that impact can also be measured in terms of increased public awareness, civil society mobilization, and a shift in journalistic focus, even without immediate legal repercussions for the accused.

The removal of Stella Oduah from her ministerial position following an investigative report, and Kemi Adeosun's resignation after revelations about her alleged forged certificate, serve as direct examples where investigative reporting led to the removal of political office holders. These cases demonstrate that investigative journalism can indeed trigger significant political consequences, even if formal prosecutions do not always follow immediately. They highlight the power of public opinion and political pressure, often catalyzed by media exposure, to force accountability and uphold ethical standards in public office. The analysis of these outcomes reveals that investigative journalism's impact operates across a spectrum. While it consistently achieves heightened public awareness and often triggers policy attention, its direct translation into prosecutions and comprehensive systemic reforms is less consistent. This aligns with the observation that there is often a gap between the exposure of corruption and the actual implementation of reforms or prosecutions. This suggests that investigative journalism primarily functions as a powerful catalyst for change, initiating a chain of events that may eventually lead to accountability. The "ripple effects" of these investigations are not always linear legal consequences for the corrupt but rather encompass a broader shift in public discourse, policy prioritization, and institutional pressure. These broader shifts are crucial, albeit often indirect, contributions to good governance, indicating that the path from exposure to full accountability is complex and multi-faceted, and requiring sustained effort from multiple stakeholders beyond the media.

Journalists engaged in investigative reporting in Nigeria routinely face intimidation, harassment, and arbitrary arrests by government authorities and powerful political actors. Nigerian laws, such as the Cybercrime Act, are sometimes weaponized to suppress critical reporting and silence dissenting voices, effectively criminalizing legitimate journalistic inquiry. Despite constitutional guarantees of press freedom (Section 39 of the 1999 Constitution), this fundamental right is frequently undermined by restrictive regulations and informal pressures exerted by powerful entities, including political elites and security agencies. Journalists delving into issues concerning corruption, terrorism, or

organized crime may face direct threats to their personal safety, including physical assault, detention, and even assassination. The rise of terrorist groups like Boko Haram in the northern regions and violent criminal groups such as bandits has rendered certain areas exceptionally perilous for journalists, leading to an increasing frequency of attacks, kidnappings, and assassinations, often with little to no accountability for the perpetrators. This climate of fear and impunity creates a chilling effect, forcing journalists into self-censorship or abandoning critical investigations.

The history of investigative journalism in Nigeria is replete with instances of severe repression and personal risk like: Dele Giwa (1986): A prominent investigative journalist and founding editor-in-chief of Newswatch magazine, Dele Giwa tragically died by a letter bomb on October 19, 1986. His assassination is widely attributed to his unwavering commitment and adversarial methodology in exposing hidden corruption in government circles, serving as a stark and enduring symbol of the ultimate price journalists can pay for their dedication to truth and accountability in Nigeria. Taiye Solarin (1974): Solarin was arrested on October 11, 1974, for his published article, "the beginning of the end," an early demonstration of state repression against critical reporting during military rule, setting a precedent for silencing dissent. Next newspaper, despite producing remarkable investigative stories on the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) and Globacom during the Goodluck Jonathan administration, ultimately could not survive. Its demise was a direct consequence of its refusal to "spike" certain stories, which led to powerful companies withdrawing substantial advertising support. This case reveals a particularly insidious form of censorship: the economic weaponization against press freedom.

Conclusion

Investigative journalism in Nigeria plays an undeniably vital, albeit challenging role in the fight against corruption, particularly concerning political office holders. The evidence presented demonstrates its consistent effectiveness in uncovering various forms of corruption, from procurement fraud and embezzlement to electoral malpractices and abuse of office. These cases underscore that when investigative journalism is robust and well-executed, leveraging advanced methodologies and thorough research, it acts as a powerful catalyst for change, initiating a chain of events that can shift public discourse, prioritize policy attention, and exert pressure on institutions. Investigative journalists operate in highly hostile environments, facing severe threats,

intimidation, legal harassment, and economic pressures that can lead to self-censorship and even the demise of media outlets, as tragically exemplified by Dele Giwa and Next newspaper. Conversely, corrupt political office holders often face disproportionately low costs for their illicit activities due to systemic weaknesses in law enforcement, a compromised judiciary, and a pervasive lack of political will.

The full impact of investigative journalism is therefore not a linear outcome but a complex interplay within a broader governance ecosystem. While it excels at its "watchdog" function and "agenda-setting" capacity, its ultimate contribution to "democratic governance" is constrained by the failure of other state institutions to consistently translate revelations into prosecutions, asset recoveries, and sustained systemic reforms. This situation creates a damaging effect on journalistic integrity and public trust, perpetuating a cycle where exposure alone is insufficient to dismantle deeply embedded corrupt practices.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and the identified challenges, the following recommendations are crucial for strengthening the impact of investigative journalism in the fight against corruption in Nigeria, aiming to bridge the gap between exposure and consequence: Strengthen Legal and Institutional Protections for Journalists, to urgently review and reform existing laws, such as the Cybercrime Act, which are frequently weaponized to suppress critical reporting and harass journalists; enhance Financial and Operational Support for Independent Media, to support the development of diverse and sustainable funding models for independent media organizations to reduce their reliance on politically or economically motivated advertising, which can lead to self-censorship; to provide specialized training for journalists in advanced investigative techniques, including data analysis, beneficial ownership tracing, forensic accounting, digital security, and legal literacy; reform and strengthen anti-corruption institutions and the Judiciary, to implement stringent disciplinary measures and social sanctions for corrupt judicial officials to address the low rate of indictment and penalty.

Address underlying issues such as low salaries, inadequate funding, and political interference in judicial appointments to restore integrity and independence within the justice system; to encourage sustained political will from leadership to genuinely combat corruption by ensuring consistency in anti-corruption efforts, regardless of political affiliations, promote Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration and Public Engagement: to foster stronger, more formalized collaborations

between investigative journalists and anti-corruption agencies, like Independence Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC) to facilitate information sharing, protect whistleblowers, and ensure that journalistic findings are acted upon; support civil society organizations in their advocacy efforts to demand accountability and transparency. Encourage their crucial role in monitoring the outcomes of investigative reports and pressuring authorities for action; and launch sustained public information campaigns to correct misperceptions about corruption norms and increase public disapproval of corrupt practices. Encourage citizen engagement in governance through accessible e-procurement systems and social audits of public projects.

REFERENCES

1. Adamaagashi I, Centurion A, & Solomon A. *International Journal of Social and Management Research*, Vol. 10. No 2.
2. Anyanwu, J. C. (2017). The impact of investigative journalism on corruption in Nigeria. *African Journalism Studies*, 38(2), 34-50.
3. Bernstein, C., & Woodward, B. (1974). *All the President's men*. Simon & Schuster.
4. Bennett, W. L. (2009). *News: The politics of illusion*. Pearson Longman.
5. Brunetti, A., & Weder, B. (2003). A free press is bad news for corruption. *Journal of Public Economics*, 87(7-8), 1801-1824.
6. Camillus E. (2025). Nigeria's House to look into row between regulator and Dangote over fuel imports, pricing. Reuters, Abuja.
7. Coronel, S. S. (2003). The media as watchdog. *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics*, 8(2), 59-75.
8. Chiluwa, I. (2021). Resisting corruption in the Nigerian legislature: A critical discourse analysis of news and opinion articles on legislators' salaries. *Discourse & Communication*, 15(5).
9. de Burgh, H. (2008). *Investigative journalism*. Routledge.
10. Entman, R. M. (2004). *Projections of power: Framing news, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy*. University of Chicago Press.
11. Edmond, L., & Wilson, F. (2018). *Assessment of Media Coverage of Anti-Corruption Campaigns*.
12. Grabe, M. E., & Scheufele, D. A. (2001). Tuning in or tuning out? *Journalism Studies*, 2(4), 533-549.
13. Idowu, S. S., & Ogunbiyi, H. O. (2024). *Political Corruption and Nigeria's Foreign Image: A Critical Assessment*. Kashere
- Journal of Politics and International Relations, 2(2), 372-380.
14. Iyengar, S., & Kinder, D. R. (1987). *News that matters: Television and American opinion*. University of Chicago Press.
15. Izuchukwu, A., Awakessien, C., & Awakessien, S. (2024). *Corruption and Governance: Examining the Impact on Political Stability in Nigeria*.
16. Komolafe, N., & Nkereuwem, E. (2019). Corruption reporting in the media in the 2015 Nigerian elections: Setting the agenda or toeing the line?
17. Lichtenberg, J. (1990). Mere reporting, journalism, and democracy. In J. Lichtenberg (Ed.), *Democracy and the mass media* (pp. 278-314). Cambridge University Press.
18. McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176-187.
19. Nigeria: Reflections on Contesting Claims. *Journal of Political Discourse*, 2(1), 166-176.
19. Norris, P. (2000). *A virtuous circle: Political communications in postindustrial societies*. Cambridge University Press.
20. Nongomin, J. T. (2024). A Comparative Study of Newspaper Coverage on Corruption in Nigeria. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research*, 10(6), 57-70.
21. Obermayer, F., & Obermaier, F. (2016). *The Panama Papers: Breaking the story of how the rich and powerful hide their money*. OneWorld Publications.
22. Okon, P. E. (2023). *Investigative Journalism and the Fight against Corruption. Reporters Without Borders*. (n.d.). World Press Freedom Index.
23. Owolabi, T. O. (n.d.). *Anatomy of Corruption in the Nigerian Public Sector: Theoretical Perspectives and Some Empirical Explanations*
24. Rose-Ackerman, S., & Palifka, B. J. (2016). *Corruption and government: Causes, consequences, and reform*. Cambridge University Press.
25. Transparency International. (2022). *Corruption Perceptions Index*.
26. Ugwu, J. N. (2023). *Impact of Public Debt on Nigeria's Economy*.
27. Weaver, D. H., McCombs, M., & Shaw, D. L. (2004). Agenda-setting research: Issues, attributes, and influences. In J. D. H. Downing, D. McQuail, P. Schlesinger, & E. Wartella (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of media studies* (pp. 155-172). Sage Publications.
28. Waisbord, S. (2000). *Watchdog journalism*

- in South America: News, accountability, and democracy. Columbia University Press.
29. Zakari, M. B., & Button, M. (2022). *Confronting the Monolith: Insider Accounts of the Nature and Techniques of Corruption in Nigeria*.
 30. Zibima, T., Hussain, H. O., & Okoye, A. C. (2024). *Grounding Corruption Discourse in Nigeria*