

# MASS MEDIA CAMPAIGNS AND ELECTORATE'S CHOICE OF CANDIDATES DURING ELECTIONS

Johnson Aleogho Nasamu, \* Daniel Ekharefo, \*\* Ezekiel Shegun Asemah

\*Department of Mass Communication, Glorious Vision University, Ogwa Edo State

\*\*Department of Mass Communication, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State

---

## Abstract

Mass media play a crucial role in shaping electorate behaviour during elections. Through various platforms, including television, radio, newspapers, and social media, media campaigns influence voter perception, candidate preference, and electoral outcomes. This paper examines the impact of mass media campaigns on electorate decision-making, drawing from theoretical and empirical perspectives. The study highlights how media framing, agenda-setting, and exposure to campaign messages shape voter choices. Using relevant literature, the paper explores the effectiveness of media campaigns in informing, persuading, or misleading the electorate. It also discusses ethical considerations and regulatory mechanisms for ensuring fair electoral media coverage. The study concludes with recommendations for enhancing responsible media practices during elections.

**Keywords** Mass media, Election Campaigns, Voter Behaviour, Political Communication, Electorate Choice

**Declaration of conflicting interests:** The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## Introduction

Elections serve as a fundamental pillar of democracy, enabling citizens to participate in governance through the selection of political representatives. The role of mass media in electoral processes cannot be overstated, as it serves as a conduit for political communication, mobilising voters, shaping public discourse, and influencing political engagement. Political actors utilise mass media to communicate their ideologies, policies, and campaign messages to the electorate, fostering political awareness and participation (Asemah, Nwammuo & Edegoh, 2014). Over the years, advancements in mass communication have significantly transformed electoral processes, making media campaigns a central feature of political contests.

With the increasing reliance on media platforms for political communication, scholars and analysts have raised pertinent questions regarding the extent to which media campaigns influence voter behaviour and decision-making. Traditional media, including radio, television, and newspapers, have

historically played a key role in political education and agenda-setting. However, with the advent of digital and social media, mass communication has evolved into a more interactive and targeted approach, enabling political actors to reach specific demographics more effectively (Asemah & Edegoh, 2012). This evolution has introduced new dynamics into political campaigns, reshaping the way information is disseminated and received.

In contemporary democracies, media campaigns serve as instruments for persuasion, often incorporating strategic messaging, emotive appeals, and targeted advertisements to influence electorate choices. The persuasive power of mass media is particularly evident during election periods, where political parties deploy sophisticated media strategies to frame issues, manage perceptions, and sway public opinion (McQuail, 2010). Furthermore, media campaigns contribute to political socialisation by exposing voters to diverse perspectives, shaping political attitudes, and reinforcing partisan affiliations. As a result, the media has become an indispensable tool in shaping the political landscape.

Nonetheless, concerns have emerged regarding the role of media bias, misinformation, and agenda-setting in electoral campaigns. Media organisations, driven by ideological leanings or economic interests, sometimes engage in selective reporting, framing political narratives to favour specific candidates or parties (Ojebuyi & Salawu, 2019). Such practices raise questions about the objectivity and credibility of media campaigns, particularly in societies where press freedom is constrained or where media ownership is concentrated in the hands of political elites. The implications of biased reportage on electorate choices remain a subject of scholarly debate, necessitating empirical investigations into the extent of media influence on voting behaviour.

Furthermore, the proliferation of social media has intensified concerns about the spread of fake news, propaganda, and electoral misinformation. Digital platforms such as Facebook, X, formerly known as Twitter and WhatsApp have become arenas for political discourse, where information—whether factual or misleading—spreads rapidly among users. Studies have shown that misinformation during election periods can distort public perception, influence voter preferences, and undermine democratic integrity (Isah, 2016). The challenge of distinguishing credible information from falsehoods in the digital age underscores the need for critical media literacy among the electorate.

Amid these concerns, media campaigns also play a crucial role in voter education and political engagement. Public service announcements, televised debates, and political advertisements contribute to informing voters about candidates, party manifestos, and election procedures (Asemah et al., 2017). When effectively utilised, media campaigns enhance democratic participation by equipping citizens with the knowledge required to make informed electoral choices. However, the extent to which media campaigns genuinely educate the electorate, as opposed to merely persuading or manipulating them, remains an area of interest in political communication research.

This study seeks to examine how mass media campaigns shape electorate choices during elections, considering the implications of media bias, misinformation, and voter education. By analysing media content, campaign strategies, and voter perceptions, this study aims to provide insights into the extent of media influence in shaping electoral outcomes. Understanding the dynamics of media influence is essential for policymakers, media practitioners, and electoral stakeholders in fostering a fair and democratic electoral process.

## **Statement of the Problem**

Despite the critical role of mass media in elections, concerns have been raised about its potential to manipulate public opinion rather than inform. The increasing commercialisation and politicisation of media platforms have contributed to the dissemination of biased narratives, favouring specific candidates or political ideologies (Isah, 2016). The growing prevalence of misinformation and fake news, particularly on social media, has further exacerbated the challenge of ensuring a fair and democratic electoral process.

Additionally, media ownership and political affiliations influence how election-related information is framed and reported. In many cases, media organisations are owned by political figures or individuals with vested interests, leading to selective coverage that serves partisan objectives (Ojebuyi & Salawu, 2019). Such ownership patterns raise questions about the neutrality and credibility of mass media campaigns, as editorial decisions may prioritise political propaganda over balanced reporting. The extent to which media ownership structures impact public perception and voter behaviour requires further exploration.

Another challenge is the influence of media sensationalism in shaping electorate choices. Political campaigns often employ sensational headlines, exaggerated narratives, and emotionally charged content to capture audience attention and drive engagement (McQuail, 2010). While such strategies may be effective in mobilising voters, they can also contribute to misinformation, polarisation, and voter manipulation. This raises ethical concerns regarding the role of media in fostering informed civic engagement versus exploiting public emotions for political gain.

Furthermore, the increasing use of digital and social media platforms has transformed the nature of political communication. While these platforms offer opportunities for greater political participation, they also present risks of echo chambers, where individuals are exposed primarily to information that aligns with their pre-existing beliefs (Asemah et al., 2017). This phenomenon reinforces partisan biases and limits exposure to diverse political viewpoints, potentially distorting electorate choices and undermining democratic deliberation.

Given these challenges, this study aims to investigate how media campaigns impact electorate choices and whether they contribute to informed decision-making or electoral manipulation. By examining media content, voter perceptions, and campaign strategies, the study seeks to assess the

effectiveness of mass media in promoting democratic values and electoral integrity. Understanding these dynamics will be essential in addressing the challenges posed by biased media coverage, misinformation, and political propaganda in electoral processes.

### **Research Objectives**

The specific objectives of this research are:

1. To investigate how media campaigns impact electorate choices in the context of increasing commercialisation and politicisation of media platforms.
2. To assess whether media campaigns contribute to informed decision-making or electoral manipulation, considering the influence of media ownership and political affiliations.
3. To examine the effectiveness of mass media in promoting democratic values and electoral integrity, particularly concerning the challenges posed by misinformation, sensationalism, and the rise of digital and social media platforms.

### **Conceptual Review**

#### **Mass Media and Elections**

The role of mass media in elections is pivotal in shaping public perception, political discourse, and voter behaviour. Traditional media, including newspapers, radio, and television, serve as primary channels for political communication, disseminating information about candidates, policies, and electoral processes (Asemah, 2011). Digital media, particularly social networking sites, have revolutionised electoral participation by providing a platform for real-time engagement, mobilisation, and political debates (Okoro & Nwafor, 2013). While mass media promote democratic participation, they also present challenges such as media bias, misinformation, and sensationalism, which may distort public perception of political realities (McQuail, 2010). Therefore, the effectiveness of media in elections depends on the ethical and regulatory frameworks governing their operations (Asemah, Nwammuo, & Nkwam-Uwaoma, 2017).

#### **Political Advertising and Voter Perception**

Political advertising is a fundamental aspect of electioneering, influencing voter attitudes and electoral choices. Candidates and political parties employ diverse advertising strategies, including persuasive rhetoric, emotional appeal, and negative campaigning, to sway voter perception (Asemah, 2011). Research indicates that exposure to well-

crafted political adverts enhances voter awareness and shapes their preferences (Kaid, 2004). However, the effectiveness of political advertising depends on credibility, content framing, and message repetition (Okeke, 2020). While advertisements can mobilise support, they may also contribute to misinformation if not regulated effectively. As such, electoral bodies and media regulatory agencies must ensure transparency and truthfulness in political advertisements (Asemah et al., 2017).

#### **Misinformation and Fake News**

Misinformation and fake news have emerged as significant threats to electoral integrity, influencing voter decision-making and distorting political narratives. Fake news, often disseminated via social media and partisan news outlets, exploits public emotions and ideological biases, leading to misinformation cascades (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017). According to Asemah (2011), the proliferation of unverified political information can erode public trust in democratic institutions and undermine electoral credibility. Studies reveal that fake news tends to spread faster than factual reports, making it difficult for voters to discern truth from falsehood (Vosoughi, Roy, & Aral, 2018). Consequently, media literacy programmes and fact-checking initiatives are essential in countering misinformation during elections (Asemah et al., 2017).

#### **Media Ownership and Bias**

Media ownership structures significantly influence news coverage, editorial policies, and political alignment. Privately owned media outlets may reflect the political interests of their proprietors, leading to biased reportage and agenda-setting (McChesney, 2004). Public service media, ideally, should provide balanced coverage, but they are often susceptible to government control and censorship, particularly in authoritarian regimes (Asemah, 2011). The concept of media pluralism is critical in ensuring diverse political viewpoints and preventing monopolistic media control. Studies suggest that ownership concentration affects the objectivity of political coverage, favouring certain candidates while marginalising others (Bagdikian, 2004). Addressing media bias requires stringent regulatory mechanisms and ethical journalistic practices to uphold fairness in electoral reporting (Asemah et al., 2017).

#### **Opinion Review**

Scholars and political analysts have long debated the role of mass media campaigns in shaping electoral outcomes, with perspectives ranging from their enhancement of democratic participation to their potential distortion of public

opinion. Some scholars argue that mass media serve as a vital tool for informing and educating voters, thereby fostering democratic engagement (Iyengar & Simon, 2000). According to McCombs and Shaw (1972), the media set the agenda by highlighting particular political issues, which in turn influences what the electorate considers important. In this regard, the media's role in elections extends beyond mere information dissemination to actively shaping public discourse and voter decision-making.

Conversely, critics highlight the detrimental effects of media bias and political propaganda, arguing that these factors undermine the electorate's ability to make informed decisions (Bennett & Segerberg, 2013). This argument aligns with the propaganda model proposed by Herman and Chomsky (1988), which suggests that media ownership, advertising interests, and political affiliations influence news content, thereby limiting objective reporting. In politically charged environments, media organisations may prioritise sensationalism, misleading narratives, or partisan framing, which can manipulate voter perceptions and undermine electoral integrity.

In the Nigerian context, the role of mass media in elections is particularly significant due to the country's diverse political landscape and historical experiences with media influence. Asemah (2011) asserts that media framing and political advertisements shape voter perceptions and attitudes, often determining how candidates and parties are perceived. Media platforms, including newspapers, television, radio, and increasingly social media, serve as battlegrounds where political actors attempt to control narratives and influence public sentiment. As such, media strategies employed by political parties can significantly affect electoral outcomes.

Political advertising is a key component of media influence during elections. Political advertisements use persuasive techniques, including emotional appeals, issue framing, and character portrayal, to shape voter opinions (Norris, 2000). Studies have shown that negative political ads, in particular, tend to be more memorable and can influence voter attitudes by discrediting opponents (Geer, 2006). In Nigeria, political campaigns have increasingly relied on mass media advertisements to reach broad audiences, employing messaging strategies that appeal to ethnic, religious, and economic sentiments (Okeke, 2019).

The advent of social media has further transformed the influence of mass media in elections, introducing new dynamics that amplify both democratic engagement and misinformation.

Social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, and WhatsApp have become powerful tools for political mobilisation, allowing candidates to communicate directly with voters (Chadwick & Stromer-Galley, 2016). However, these platforms also facilitate the spread of misinformation and political propaganda, which can distort public perceptions and contribute to electoral manipulation (Allcott & Gentzkow, 2017). In Nigeria's 2019 elections, social media was instrumental in shaping public discourse, but it also played a role in the spread of fake news and divisive political narratives (Ojebuyi & Salawu, 2020).

Media framing is another critical aspect of electoral influence, as it determines how political events and candidates are presented to the public. Entman (1993) defines framing as the process by which certain aspects of reality are highlighted while others are downplayed, influencing how audiences interpret information. In Nigerian elections, media outlets often frame candidates based on regional, ethnic, or religious affiliations, which can reinforce existing societal divisions and impact voter behaviour (Oso, 2012). This selective framing can create biases in public perception, favouring particular candidates or political parties.

Despite concerns over media bias and propaganda, mass media campaigns can also serve as tools for political accountability and transparency. Investigative journalism and political debates aired on television and radio provide platforms for scrutinising candidates' policies and records (Voltmer, 2013). In Nigeria, televised debates during elections have allowed voters to assess candidates' competencies and policy positions, contributing to more informed electoral choices (Ogwezzy-Ndisika, 2018). However, the effectiveness of these debates often depends on the willingness of candidates to participate and the impartiality of the media in moderating discussions.

Regulatory frameworks play a crucial role in determining the extent to which media campaigns influence elections. In Nigeria, agencies such as the National Broadcasting Commission (NBC) and the Nigerian Press Council (NPC) are tasked with ensuring ethical media practices and preventing the spread of false information (Ojebuyi, 2021). However, challenges such as weak enforcement mechanisms, political interference, and financial pressures on media organisations often undermine these regulatory efforts. Strengthening media regulations and promoting journalistic ethics can enhance the credibility of election-related reporting and minimise undue political influence.

The impact of mass media on elections is also

influenced by audience reception and media literacy levels. While some voters critically engage with political information, others passively consume media content without questioning its accuracy or bias (Livingstone & Van der Graaf, 2008). In Nigeria, varying levels of media literacy mean that some segments of the population are more susceptible to political misinformation and propaganda (Ezeibe et al., 2020). Enhancing media literacy programmes can empower voters to critically assess political messages and make informed electoral choices.

Mass media campaigns play a complex and multifaceted role in elections, serving as both a tool for democratic engagement and a potential source of misinformation and bias. While media platforms can educate and inform voters, they can also manipulate public opinion through selective framing, political propaganda, and biased reporting. In the Nigerian context, the influence of mass media on elections is particularly pronounced, given the country's political, ethnic, and religious dynamics. Strengthening media regulations, promoting journalistic integrity, and enhancing media literacy among voters are essential steps towards ensuring that mass media contribute positively to the electoral process.

### Theoretical Framework

This study is underpinned by two foundational theories in communication studies: the Agenda-Setting Theory and the Framing Theory. These theoretical lenses offer crucial insights into the intricate ways in which mass media not only influence public perception but also profoundly shape electoral outcomes. By applying these frameworks, we aim to systematically analyze the media's role in the electoral process, particularly concerning the salience and interpretation of key issues.

### Agenda-Setting Theory

The Agenda-Setting Theory, conceptualized by Maxwell McCombs and Donald Shaw in 1972, posits that the media, rather than dictating what the public *thinks*, effectively determines *what the public thinks about*. This core principle highlights the media's power to confer salience upon specific issues by consistently featuring them in news reports. Through sustained and prominent coverage, media outlets can elevate certain topics, thereby influencing the public's perception of their importance and subsequently shaping political discourse and voter priorities (McCombs & Shaw, 1972; Asemah, 2011).

McQuail (2010) further elaborates on agenda-setting, distinguishing between two levels of influence. The first level, often referred to as 'issue

agenda-setting,' concerns the media's ability to select and highlight certain topics, making them salient in the public consciousness. The second level, known as 'attribute agenda-setting,' delves deeper into *how* the media frames these issues, thereby impacting public attitudes and perceptions towards them. In the context of electoral campaigns, issues and political candidates that garner extensive media attention tend to dominate public discussion, while those with limited coverage may be marginalized in the electorate's consideration (Asemah, Nwammuo & Nkwam-Uwaoma, 2017). The relevance of Agenda-Setting Theory to this study is paramount. It provides a robust framework for understanding how the media's concentrated focus on issues such as vote buying, the profiles of political candidates, and the broader concept of election integrity can directly influence public concern and, by extension, electoral decisions. For instance, in the 2023 Nigerian elections, the extent of media coverage dedicated to vote buying could have significantly influenced public perception regarding the transparency and legitimacy of the electoral process, thereby setting the public agenda on this critical issue.

### Framing Theory

Introduced by Erving Goffman in 1974 and further developed by Robert Entman in 1993, the Framing Theory posits that the manner in which information is presented by the media (its "frame") profoundly affects how audiences perceive and interpret that information (Entman, 1993). Framing, in essence, involves the deliberate selection, emphasis, exclusion, and elaboration of specific aspects of a narrative to promote a particular interpretation or understanding (Asemah, 2011). A central tenet of Framing Theory is that the media actively constructs reality for its audience by employing specific frames. According to Entman (2007), framing encompasses four key functions: defining problems, diagnosing causes, making moral judgments, and suggesting remedies. In the realm of political communication, the strategic framing of candidates, policies, and electoral issues plays a significant role in shaping voter attitudes and influencing electoral choices (McQuail, 2010).

This theory is particularly pertinent to our investigation as it offers an explanatory lens for understanding how Nigerian newspapers portrayed the issue of vote buying during the 2023 general elections. The specific frames employed by media outlets - for example, whether vote buying was presented as a normalized practice, a serious criminal act, or a fundamental threat to democratic principles - could have significantly molded public perception of election integrity. Furthermore, the framing of political candidates in relation to

allegations or discussions of vote buying may have directly impacted voter confidence and trust in the overall electoral process. By systematically applying both the Agenda-Setting Theory and the Framing Theory, this study will critically examine how Nigerian newspapers influenced public discourse surrounding vote buying during the 2023 elections. This dual theoretical approach will allow for a comprehensive assessment of the extent to which media coverage not only set the agenda for public attention but also shaped audience perceptions of electoral credibility.

## **Methodology**

This study utilizes a qualitative research approach, primarily relying on secondary data to investigate the relationship between mass media campaigns and electoral behavior. We draw upon a variety of scholarly materials, including journal articles, books, and reports, to build a comprehensive understanding of how media influences voter attitudes and decisions. This qualitative lens allows for an in-depth exploration of existing theories, patterns, and trends concerning media campaigns and their effectiveness in shaping electoral processes. Our reliance on well-documented sources ensures a thorough analysis of prior research and expert insights on this topic. To systematically analyze the collected secondary data, **content analysis** will be employed as the primary methodological tool. This approach enables us to identify recurring themes, trends, and narratives within the reviewed literature. Through content analysis, we will critically assess how different forms of media messages, across both traditional and digital platforms, shape political discourse, influence voter perceptions, and ultimately contribute to electoral outcomes. This method will provide a nuanced understanding of the role of mass media in electioneering, with conclusions drawn from existing empirical evidence and scholarly discourse.

## **Review of Empirical Studies**

Empirical studies have demonstrated varying levels of media influence on voter behaviour. Below are ten studies that highlight this relationship:

Strömbäck & Kaid (2008) conducted a study to examine how mass media shape voter perceptions, particularly in countries with high media dependency. Using content analysis and survey methods, the study found that media coverage significantly influences voter attitudes and decision-making. The study concluded that media remain central in shaping political opinions and recommended increased media literacy programs. This aligns with the current study in assessing media

influence on voter perception but differs in its broader international scope.

Esser & de Vreese (2018) explored the role of social media in mobilising and persuading voters. The study employed a mixed-method approach, including surveys and interviews, to assess how digital platforms influenced political participation. Findings indicated that social media significantly enhance voter engagement, particularly among young people. The study recommended policymakers leverage social media for voter education. While this study focuses on social media, the current research incorporates both traditional and digital media.

Asemah & Edegoh (2012) examined political advertising through mass media and its impact on voter choices in Nigeria. A quantitative survey of 500 urban dwellers revealed that media advertisements significantly shape voting decisions. The study concluded that well-structured political advertisements influence electoral outcomes and recommended ethical advertising practices. This study shares similarities with the current research in its Nigerian context but differs by focusing more on advertising rather than general media influence.

Okoro & Nwafor (2013) investigated the impact of social media on voter awareness during elections in Nigeria. The study, conducted through online surveys, found that social media platforms were key sources of political information for young voters. It concluded that digital media complement traditional media in voter education and recommended enhanced media regulations to curb misinformation. This study aligns with the current research in examining social media but differs in its emphasis on voter awareness rather than influence on behaviour.

Oyenuga (2015) assessed the role of radio in shaping voter attitudes in Southwest Nigeria. Using structured interviews and focus groups, the study found that radio remains a primary source of political information, particularly in rural areas. The study recommended that politicians use local radio stations for campaign messaging. Unlike the present research, which considers multiple media channels, this study focuses solely on radio's impact.

McCombs & Valenzuela (2020) examined the agenda-setting role of media in elections. Using secondary data analysis, the study found that media framing significantly determines voter prioritisation of political issues. The study concluded that media houses should ensure balanced reporting and recommended public engagement in media monitoring. While the current study also explores media influence, it differs by focusing on specific

election periods rather than long-term agenda-setting effects.

Ekeli & Enobakhare (2021) explored the influence of television debates on voter choices in Nigeria. The study employed experimental research, exposing voters to different political debates and measuring attitude changes. Findings revealed that well-articulated debates positively influenced voter preference. The study recommended increased access to televised debates. Unlike the current study, which examines multiple media channels, this study focuses exclusively on televised debates.

Iyengar & Hahn (2009) studied the effect of partisan media on voter alignment in the United States. Using longitudinal surveys, the study found that exposure to partisan news significantly influences voter political leanings. It concluded that media partisanship reinforces ideological divides and recommended promoting diverse media exposure. While this study shares similarities with the current research in assessing media impact, it differs by focusing on partisanship rather than voter behaviour as a whole.

Adesoji & Alimi (2017) examined newspaper coverage of political campaigns in Nigeria. The study employed content analysis of major Nigerian newspapers and found that media bias affects voter trust in political candidates. The study recommended objective journalism practices to enhance credibility. This study shares similarities with the current research in evaluating media coverage but differs by focusing more on bias rather than overall influence.

Nwabueze & Ebeze (2019) explored the role of mobile media in influencing voter decisions in Nigeria. Through survey methodology, findings showed that mobile messages significantly shape voter perceptions, particularly among urban populations. The study recommended integrating mobile-based voter education programs. While this study aligns with the current research in examining media influence, it differs in its exclusive focus on mobile media.

## **Discussion**

The findings of this study offer a comprehensive understanding of the intricate relationship between mass media campaigns and electorate choices, particularly within the dynamic landscape of increasing media commercialization and politicization. This discussion will delve into how media campaigns impact voter decisions, assess their contribution to informed decision-making versus manipulation, and examine their effectiveness in promoting democratic values and

electoral integrity, all while considering the pervasive challenges of misinformation, sensationalism, and the proliferation of digital and social media.

## **Media Campaigns' Impact on Voter Choices Amidst Commercialization and Politicization**

Our findings clearly show that mass media campaigns are crucial in shaping voter choices through **agenda-setting**, framing, and persuasive political communication. This strongly supports the **Agenda-Setting and Framing Theories**, demonstrating that media coverage doesn't just prioritize political issues but also significantly influences how voters see and understand electoral events. As Strömbäck & Kaid (2008) highlighted, voters rely heavily on mass media for political information, which profoundly shapes their perceptions. This means media influence goes beyond simply sharing information; it actively constructs political realities that ultimately sway voter preferences.

However, this influence is increasingly complicated by the commercialization and politicization of media platforms. Adesoji & Alimi (2017) found that media bias, often a result of commercial interests or political affiliations, directly affects voter trust in political candidates. Similarly, Iyengar & Hahn (2009) showed that exposure to partisan news reinforces existing ideological divides, causing voters to align with pre-existing biases rather than making objective evaluations. In Nigeria, the widespread nature of political advertising, as observed by Asemah & Edegoh (2012), further illustrates how strategic communication, often driven by commercial needs, directly influences election results. This suggests that while media campaigns are powerful tools for engaging voters, their impact on electorate choices is increasingly filtered through the economic and political leanings of the media outlets themselves, raising concerns about the impartiality of the information voters receive.

## **Informed Decision-Making vs. Electoral Manipulation: The Role of Media Ownership and Political Affiliations**

While mass media campaigns have the potential to be vital tools for educating voters and promoting informed decision-making, our findings also highlight significant challenges related to electoral manipulation, largely driven by media ownership and political affiliations. The risks of bias, misinformation, and undue influence are consistently evident in the reviewed literature. McCombs & Valenzuela (2020) demonstrated that media framing significantly dictates which political

issues voters prioritize, emphasizing the need for balanced reporting to prevent undue media influence on electoral decisions. When media ownership is concentrated or aligned with specific political interests, the potential for selective framing and biased reporting becomes a substantial threat to informed voter choice. Okoro & Nwafor (2013) recognized the importance of social media in raising voter awareness, complementing traditional media. However, they also pointed out the persistent challenges of misinformation and regulatory gaps in the digital sphere. This is particularly concerning given how easily information, both accurate and fabricated, can spread, potentially manipulating voter perceptions. Nwabueze & Ebeze (2019) emphasized the influence of mobile media on voter decisions, especially in urban areas, further highlighting the dual nature of these platforms: they facilitate widespread information access and voter education but also serve as channels for targeted disinformation campaigns. As Isah (2016) stressed, the role of regulatory bodies becomes crucial in mitigating these risks and ensuring ethical media practices, thereby fostering an environment that supports informed decision-making rather than manipulation.

### **Media's Effectiveness in Promoting Democratic Values and Electoral Integrity: Challenges of Misinformation, Sensationalism, and Digital Media**

The effectiveness of mass media in promoting democratic values and electoral integrity is clearly challenged by the widespread issues of misinformation, sensationalism, and the growing influence of digital and social media platforms. While traditional media, like radio in rural Southwest Nigeria (Oyenuga, 2015), continues to play a vital role in sharing political information and raising voter awareness, the rise of digital platforms introduces new complexities. Esser & de Vreese (2018) highlighted the increasing significance of social media in mobilizing voters and enhancing political participation, especially among younger demographics. This offers an opportunity for greater democratic engagement, but it's inextricably linked to the heightened risk of misinformation. The collective findings underscore that the increasing prevalence of media sensationalism, as noted by McQuail (2010), further complicates the electoral process. Exaggerated narratives and emotionally charged content can distort public perception and manipulate voter choices, directly undermining the principles of electoral integrity. Furthermore, the rise of digital and social media platforms has introduced new dynamics, including the formation of "echo chambers," as discussed by Asemah et al. (2017). These echo chambers reinforce partisan

biases and limit exposure to diverse political viewpoints, hindering the open and inclusive discourse essential for a healthy democracy. The findings from Ekeli & Enobakhare (2021), which suggest that television debates influence voter preferences and that increased access could enhance informed electoral choices, point to the potential of structured media formats to promote democratic values, provided they are free from sensationalism and misinformation.

### **Conclusion**

In conclusion, while mass media campaigns are indispensable for fostering political awareness and participation, their effectiveness in promoting democratic values and electoral integrity is severely tested by the challenges of bias, misinformation, sensationalism, and the evolving digital landscape. This study, therefore, underscores the critical importance of robust regulatory frameworks to ensure ethical media practices during elections. Addressing issues of media ownership, editorial independence, and balanced reporting is crucial. Furthermore, promoting media literacy programs can empower voters to critically engage with political content, enabling them to make informed decisions rather than being swayed by propaganda or misinformation. Future research should continue to explore the long-term effects of media campaigns on voter behavior, particularly in the ever-evolving digital landscape, to develop more effective strategies for promoting electoral integrity and democratic participation.

### **Recommendations**

1. To mitigate the undue influence of commercialization and politicization on electorate choices, regulatory bodies (e.g., National Broadcasting Commission, Advertising Practitioners Council of Nigeria) should establish and rigorously enforce stricter guidelines for political advertising and campaign reporting. This includes mandating clear disclosure of media ownership and political affiliations, implementing mechanisms to prevent partisan media from disproportionately dominating airwaves, and enforcing ethical codes that ensure balanced and impartial coverage. Furthermore, policies should be developed to promote editorial independence and protect journalists from commercial or political pressures that could compromise their objectivity.
2. To empower voters to make informed decisions and to counter electoral manipulation, government agencies, civil

society organizations, and educational institutions should collaborate to develop and implement comprehensive media literacy programs. These programs should equip citizens, particularly younger demographics, with the critical thinking skills necessary to evaluate news sources, identify misinformation and sensationalism, understand media framing techniques, and discern the potential biases influenced by media ownership and political affiliations. Such initiatives should be integrated into educational curricula and widely disseminated through public awareness campaigns using diverse platforms.

3. To enhance the effectiveness of mass media in promoting democratic values and electoral integrity in the digital age, a multi-stakeholder approach is required to combat misinformation and sensationalism on digital and social media platforms. This includes encouraging social media companies to invest more in content moderation, fact-checking mechanisms, and transparent algorithms that prioritize accurate information. Concurrently, legal frameworks should be explored to hold platforms and individuals accountable for the deliberate spread of harmful misinformation during elections, without infringing on freedom of speech. Public campaigns specifically targeting digital misinformation and promoting responsible online engagement should also be scaled up, leveraging partnerships with influencers and community leaders to reach wider audiences.

## References

1. Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31(2), 211-236.
2. Asemah, E. S. (2011). *Selected mass media themes*. Jos: University Press.
3. Asemah, E. S., Nwammuo, A. N., & Edegoh, L. O. N. (2014). The influence of social media on political campaigns in Nigeria. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Reviews*, 4(1), 71-81.
4. Asemah, E. S., Nwammuo, A. N., & Nkwam-Uwaoma, A. O. A. (2017). *Theories and models of communication*. Jos: University Press.
5. Asemah, E. S., & Edegoh, L. O. (2012). Political advertising and voter's decision-making in Nigeria: An empirical study. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 1(2), 45-58.
6. Asemah, E. S., & Edegoh, L. O. N. (2012). Mass media agenda-setting and political communication in Nigeria. *African Research Review*, 6(4), 82-91. <https://doi.org/10.4314/afrrrev.v6i4.6>
7. Asemah, E. S., Okpanachi, R. A., & Edegoh, L. O. N. (2017). Mass media, electioneering and democratic governance in Nigeria: A critical analysis. *Journal of New Media and Mass Communication*, 61, 1-10.
8. Bagdikian, B. H. (2004). *The new media monopoly*. Beacon Press.
9. Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2013). *The logic of connective action: Digital media and the personalization of contentious politics*. Cambridge University Press.
10. Chadwick, A., & Stromer-Galley, J. (2016). Digital media, power, and democracy in parties and election campaigns. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 21(3), 283-293.
11. Entman, R. M. (1993). Framing: Toward clarification of a fractured paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51-58.
12. Entman, R. M. (2007). Framing bias: Media in the distribution of power. *Journal of Communication*, 57(1), 163-173.
13. Esser, F., & de Vreese, C. H. (2018). *Comparing political journalism*. London: Routledge.
14. Ezeibe, C., Awofeso, O., & Ojo, O. (2020). Media literacy and the fight against misinformation in Nigerian elections. *African Journal of Media Studies*, 12(1), 67-82.
15. Geer, J. G. (2006). *In defense of negativity: Attack ads in presidential campaigns*. University of Chicago: Chicago Press.
16. Herman, E. S., & Chomsky, N. (1988). *Manufacturing consent: The political economy of the mass media*. Pantheon.
17. Isah, A. (2016). The impact of media ownership on election coverage in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Mass Communication*, 5(1), 78-92.
18. Isah, A. (2016). Media influence on voter behaviour in Nigeria: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Political Communication*, 5(2), 34-

- 48.
19. Iyengar, S., & Simon, A. (2000). New perspectives and evidence on political communication and campaign effects. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 51, 149-169.
20. Kaid, L. L. (2004). *Handbook of political communication research*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
21. Livingstone, S., & Van der Graaf, S. (2008). Media literacy and the challenge of new information and communication technologies. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 625(1), 210-231.
22. McChesney, R. W. (2004). *The problem of the media: U.S. communication politics in the 21st century*. Monthly Review Press.
23. McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176-187.
24. McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (2005). The evolution of agenda-setting research: 25 years in the marketplace of ideas. *Journal of Communication*, 43(2), 58-67.
25. McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's mass communication theory* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
26. Norris, P. (2000). *A virtuous circle: Political communications in postindustrial societies*. Cambridge University Press.
27. Ojebuyi, B. R., & Salawu, A. (2019). Ownership influence and control of the Nigerian mass media: Implications for media independence and pluralism. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 6(1), 1617022. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2019.1617022>
28. Ojebuyi, B. R., & Salawu, A. (2020). Fake news and the challenges of media literacy in Nigeria's elections. *Journal of African Media Studies*, 12(2), 245-262.
29. Okeke, M. (2020). Impact of political advertising on voter behaviour in Nigeria. *Journal of Political Studies*, 18(3), 112-126.
30. Okoro, N., & Nwafor, K. A. (2013). Social media and political participation in Nigeria. *Journal of New Media and Mass Communication*, 10(4), 23-35.
31. Oso, L. (2012). Press and politics in Nigeria: On whose side? *International Journal of Communication*, 16(1), 79-95.
32. Strömbäck, J., & Kaid, L. L. (2008). *The handbook of election news coverage around the world*. Routledge.
33. Voltmer, K. (2013). *The media in transitional democracies*. Polity Press.
34. Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. *Science*, 359(6380), 1146-1151.
35. Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making*. Council of Europe.